

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,986	06/25/2003	Anthony J. Wasilewski	A-9233	3781	
5642	7590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER		
	IC-ATLANTA, INC.	CHAI, LONGBIT			
	ΓUAL PROPERTY DEPAR RLOAF PARKWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LAWRENC	EVILLE, GA 30044	2131			
		DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/602,98		WASILEWSKI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	T			
		Longbit C	nai	2131				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	_	_	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat eply received by the Office later than three months after the mai and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no evo and will apply and w ute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim III expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	J. hely filed the mailing date of this of O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	August 2006	L					
·	rhis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
,	·—	his application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	98)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/602,986 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Original application contained claims 1 – 27. Presently, pending claims are 1 –
 22.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the subject matter of the instant claims have been fully considered but are not persuasive.
- 3. As per claim 1 and 13, Applicant asserts Wasilewski does not teach selecting for encryption a digital bit stream from a plurality of digital bit streams using an identifier. Examiner respectfully disagrees because Wasilewski teaches (a) one or more bit streams of audio, video and data streams can be selected for encryption and besides, (b) each type of audio, video and data streams is assigned a packet ID (PID) and as such Wasilewski does teach selecting for encryption a digital bit stream from a plurality of digital bit streams using an identifier (Wasilewski: Figure 1 and Column 4 Line 65 Column 5 Line 7 and Column 13 Line 65 Column 14 Line 5).

## Objection

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claim amendment filed 8/1/2006 because selecting for encryption a digital bit stream from a plurality of digital bit streams is not specifically disclosed <u>using an identifier</u> on the original specification (Provisional 60/054,578: Page 28, Line 25 – 28). See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 102 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wasilewski (U.S. Patent 5,418,782).

As per claim 1 and 13, Wasilewski teaches a method for providing an instance in a conditional access system, the method comprising the steps of:

selecting for encryption a digital bit stream from a plurality of digital bit streams using an identifier (Wasilewski: Figure 1 and Column 4 Line 65 – Column 5 Line 7 and Column 13 Line 65 – Column 14 Line 5: one or more bit streams of audio, video and data streams can be selected for encryption and each type of audio, video and data streams is assigned a PID (packet ID) and as such Wasilewski does teach selecting for encryption a digital bit stream from a plurality of digital bit streams using an identifier);

encrypting the selected digital bit stream according to a first level encryption method to provide an encrypted instance (Wasilewski: Column 4 Line 65 – 67: one or more service components, such as video (V), audio (A), and data (CC) can be encrypted / or not-encrypted prior to transmission – i.e., any part of transport stream can be encrypted);

combining tire encrypted instance with the plurality of digital bit streams to provide a partially-encrypted bit stream (Wasilewski: Figure 1 Element 16 and Column 4 Line 65 – 67), and

transmitting the partially -encrypted bit stream (Wasilewski: Figure 1 Element 16 and Column 5 Line 4 – 15: by multiplexing the service component bit streams).

As per claim 2, 4, 14 and 15, Wasilewski teaches each of the plurality of digital bit streams includes a packet identifier, and wherein the selecting step selects the digital bit stream by identifying a predetermined packet identifier (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16 and Figure 1 Element 16).

As per claim 3, Wasilewski teaches all of the selected digital bit stream is encrypted according to the first level encryption method (Wasilewski: Column 4 Line 65 – 67: one or more service components, such as video (V), audio (A), and data (CC) can be encrypted / or not-encrypted prior to transmission – i.e., any part of transport stream can be encrypted).

As per claim 5, Wasilewski teaches a portion of the selected digital bit stream is encrypted, wherein the encrypted portion and an unencrypted portion of the selected digital stream are combined with the plurality of digital bit streams (Wasilewski: Column 4 Line 65 – 67: one or more service components, such as video (V), audio (A), and data (CC) can be encrypted / or not-encrypted prior to transmission – i.e., any part of transport stream can be encrypted).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 6, Wasilewski teaches the selected digital bit stream is a program (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16).

As per claim 7, Wasilewski teaches the selected digital bit stream is an elementary digital bit stream (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16).

As per claim 8, Wasilewski teaches selecting more than one digital bit stream from the plurality of digital bit streams, wherein the more than one digital bit stream is identified by predetermined packet identifiers (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16 and Figure 1).

As per claim 9, Wasilewski teaches each of the more than one digital bit stream includes a distinct packet identifier, wherein the selecting step selects the more than one digital bit stream by identifying at least one of the distinct packet identifiers (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16 and Figure 1).

As per claim 10, Wasilewski teaches a portion of each of the more than one digital bit stream is encrypted according to the first level encryption method (Wasilewski: Column 4 Line 65 – 67: one or more service components, such as video (V), audio (A), and data (CC) can be encrypted / or not-encrypted prior to transmission – i.e., any part of transport stream can be encrypted).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 11, Wasilewski teaches all of the more than one digital bit stream is encrypted according to the first level encryption method (Wasilewski: Column 4 Line 65 – 67: one or more service components, such as video (V), audio (A), and data (CC) can be encrypted / or not-encrypted prior to transmission – i.e., any part of transport stream can be encrypted).

As per claim 12, Wasilewski teaches at least one of a portion of each of the more than one digital bit stream and all of the more than one digital bit stream is encrypted according to the first level encryption method (Wasilewski: Column 4 Line 65 – 67: one or more service components, such as video (V), audio (A), and data (CC) can be encrypted / or not-encrypted prior to transmission – i.e., any part of transport stream can be encrypted).

As per claim 16, Wasilewski teaches the encrypted portion includes at least one of the plurality of packets associated with the video stream (Wasilewski: Column 4 Line 65 – 67 and Figure 1).

As per claim 17, Wasilewski teaches the at least one of the plurality of packets is selected by the packet identifier indicative of the video stream (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16 and Column 4 Line 65 – 67 and Figure 1).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 18, Wasilewski teaches the encrypted portion includes at least one of plurality of packets associated with the audio stream (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16 Column 4 Line 65 – 67 and Figure 1).

As per claim 19, Wasilewski teaches the at least one of the plurality of packets is selected by the packet identifier indicative of the audio stream (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16 Column 4 Line 65 – 67 and Figure 1).

As per claim 20, Wasilewski teaches the encrypted portion includes at least one of the plurality of packets associated with the data stream (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16 Column 4 Line 65 – 67 and Figure 1).

As per claim 21, Wasilewski teaches the at least one of the plurality of packets is selected by the packet identifier indicative of the data stream (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16 Column 4 Line 65 – 67 and Figure 1).

As per claim 22, Wasilewski teaches the encrypted portion includes at least one of the plurality of packets associated with at least one of the video stream, the audio stream, and the data stream (Wasilewski: Column 13 Line 57 – Column 14 Line 16 Column 4 Line 65 – 67 and Figure 1).

Art Unit: 2131

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Longbit Chai whose telephone number is 571-272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2131

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Longbit Chai Examiner Art Unit 2131

**LBC** 

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100